

Table C-84a. Percentage distribution of Federal obligations for research and development of each selected agency, by State: fiscal year 2001

Page 1 of 2

State (in order of total Federal research & development obligations)	Total	Dept of Agriculture	Dept of Commerce	Dept of Defense	Dept of Energy	Dept of Health & Human Services	Dept of the Interior	Dept of Transportation	EPA	NASA	National Science Foundation
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
California	16.2	5.7	7.4	16.6	19.5	10.6	14.9	4.3	4.3	34.3	14.5
Maryland	11.9	8.0	36.0	7.9	.8	21.1	2.4	3.7	3.3	18.3	2.8
Virginia	6.2	.7	1.3	10.3	1.3	1.2	17.4	5.9	2.0	7.9	2.5
Massachusetts	5.5	1.4	2.5	5.7	1.2	7.9	3.0	8.1	3.4	2.6	7.3
Georgia	4.3	3.5	.3	7.7	.7	2.3	1.0	.7	2.9	.3	1.9
New York	4.3	2.6	2.8	2.2	8.8	7.4	.9	2.7	4.1	.7	7.8
Texas	3.7	4.5	1.7	3.1	.6	4.1	1.8	1.9	1.7	9.2	3.3
Florida	3.4	2.9	4.0	5.4	.3	1.2	3.5	1.5	2.4	3.8	2.8
District of Columbia	3.3	10.7	1.1	3.4	5.1	1.5	.3	28.6	11.3	3.0	2.7
Pennsylvania	3.3	3.0	.8	2.4	5.9	5.2	.8	1.4	1.4	.6	4.3
New Mexico	3.3	.6	.4	2.2	24.7	.4	.6	1.3	.2	.5	.6
Alabama	3.0	1.2	.2	4.8	.1	1.1	.4	1.7	.6	4.5	.6
Ohio	3.0	1.3	.6	3.7	.3	2.5	.5	3.2	12.8	4.0	2.0
Arizona	2.3	1.5	.5	4.0	.1	.7	1.5	.7	.6	1.4	2.9
Illinois	2.2	2.8	.9	.8	8.0	2.9	.5	1.2	.2	.2	5.9
New Jersey	2.0	.5	2.1	3.2	1.3	.9	.8	9.2	1.4	.3	2.1
Washington	2.0	2.3	4.5	1.5	2.5	2.9	2.6	.8	1.2	.6	2.5
North Carolina	1.8	2.5	1.4	.4	.2	4.6	.7	.9	22.0	.3	2.3
Connecticut	1.8	.6	.8	2.6	.5	1.6	.1	2.5	.3	.3	.9
Colorado	1.7	2.0	10.4	.9	2.4	1.3	16.7	2.1	1.4	2.2	5.2
Michigan	1.5	1.6	2.1	1.4	.3	2.2	1.0	3.3	1.3	.2	3.4
Missouri	1.2	1.7	.2	1.1	.1	1.9	2.8	.6	.9	.3	.8
Minnesota	1.2	1.7	.6	1.3	.2	1.5	.7	.4	3.4	.1	1.3
Tennessee	1.1	.5	.3	.4	5.2	1.3	.8	.9	.2	.4	.8
Indiana7	1.0	.2	.8	.3	.7	.4	.5	.2	.1	2.0
Oregon7	2.3	1.5	.1	.5	1.5	1.7	.3	3.1	.2	1.4
Wisconsin6	2.4	.2	.1	.4	1.3	1.9	.6	.4	.3	1.8
Maine6	.4	.3	1.0	*	.3	.4	.3	*	*	.4
Rhode Island6	.1	.4	.8	*	.5	.5	.1	2.1	*	.7
New Hampshire5	.4	1.3	.8	*	.3	.3	.4	.4	.2	.5
Mississippi5	4.3	.5	.5	.1	.2	.8	.4	.2	.9	.2
Utah5	1.0	.2	.5	.2	.6	.9	.6	.4	.1	.9
West Virginia5	1.5	.2	.3	1.2	.3	1.0	.4	1.0	.5	1.7
Iowa4	2.9	.2	.1	.4	.8	.4	1.6	.2	.1	.7
South Carolina4	1.0	1.6	.3	.8	.5	.5	.4	.2	.1	.8
Kansas4	1.6	.1	.2	.1	.7	.4	1.1	.3	.1	.6
Nevada4	.3	.1	.1	2.9	.2	.7	.3	2.8	.1	.3
Hawaii4	1.4	2.5	.4	.1	.3	1.3	.1	.1	.4	.6
Louisiana4	2.3	.4	.2	.1	.4	2.5	.3	1.0	.1	.7
Kentucky3	.7	.2	.1	*	.9	.3	.4	.3	*	.5
Oklahoma3	1.0	1.0	.2	.1	.3	.3	2.3	2.1	.1	.6
Alaska3	.8	5.2	.1	*	.1	3.4	.7	.2	.2	1.2
Idaho3	1.1	.1	*	2.3	*	.8	.2	.1	*	.1
Arkansas2	2.2	.0	.2	*	.3	.3	.3	*	*	.2
Montana2	1.3	.1	.1	*	.2	1.2	.3	.7	.2	.5
Nebraska2	2.2	.1	*	*	.2	.2	.2	.3	*	.4
Vermont1	.4	.1	.1	*	.3	.2	.1	.1	*	.2
North Dakota1	1.7	.1	*	.1	.1	.6	.2	.4	*	.1
Delaware1	.2	.3	.1	.1	.1	.1	.1	*	*	.5
South Dakota1	.4	.1	*	*	.1	2.3	.1	*	.1	.3
Wyoming	*	.5	*	*	.1	*	.4	.2	.1	*	.3

See explanatory information, if any, and SOURCE at end of table.

Table C-84a. Percentage distribution of Federal obligations for research and development of each selected agency, by State: fiscal year 2001

Page 2 of 2

State (in order of total Federal research & development obligations)	Total	Dept of Agriculture	Dept of Commerce	Dept of Defense	Dept of Energy	Dept of Health & Human Services	Dept of the Interior	Dept of Transportation	EPA	NASA	National Science Foundation
Outlying areas	0.2	0.8	0.1	0.1	*	0.3	0.4	.0	0.1	*	0.6
Puerto Rico1	.5	.1	*	*	.3	.2	.0	*	*	.6
Other areas1	.2	*	.1	.0	.1	.1	.0	.1	.0	.0
Offices abroad	*	.2	.0	.1	.0	.0	.2	.0	.0	.0	.0

KEY: * = Amount less than 0.5 percent
EPA = Environmental Protection Agency
NASA = National Aeronautics & Space Administration

NOTES: Only the following 10 agencies are required to report to this section of the survey: the Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, Defense, Energy, Health and Human Services, Interior, and Transportation; the Environmental Protection Agency; the National Aeronautics and Space Administration; and the National Science Foundation. The obligations of the 10 major Research and Development (R&D) supporting agencies included in this table represent approximately 98 percent of total Federal R&D obligations in fiscal year 2001.

Geographic distribution of Department of Defense development funding to industry reflects only the location of prime contractors, not the numerous subcontractors who perform much of the research and development.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation/Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Federal Funds for Research and Development: Fiscal Years 2001, 2002, and 2003